Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/769,051	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) Barry Swanson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 67, 69, 84, 86, 101-122		• .
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
fflerat		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested that the particle size range of claims 67 and 84 should be changed to read "0.1 to 5 microns" and to add the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claims 69, 86 and 101-122. Specification was to be amended to include the same particle size range. Mr. Swanson agreed to all suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's Amendment (attached).